UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,859	06/21/2001	Hoang Tan Tran	41676/JMC/B600	6112

32294	7590	09/27/2006

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
14TH FLOOR
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182

EXAMINER
YANCHUS III, PAUL B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2116	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/886,859	TRAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Yanchus	2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *28 June 2006*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-24* is/are pending in the application.

     4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-24* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

     a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

        1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

        2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

        3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This non-final office action is in response to communications filed on 6/28/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed
in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for
patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an
international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this
subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United
States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11-17 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142.

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Bar-Niv teaches a method and apparatus for regulating

transceiver power consumption in a communications network comprising:

monitoring data [incoming pulses] received by the transceiver to detect the presence or

absence of a received data signal [column 1, lines 57-67]; and

controlling a transceiver state machine [energy-on state machine] to regulate transceiver

power consumption in response to the presence or absence of the data received [column 2, lines

32-49].

wherein the transceiver state machine includes a wake-up control and a power down

control [energy-on state machine and power module together act as a wake-up control and a

power down control], the wake-up control being configured to send power control signals

[power module supplies power to transceiver circuitry] to a transmitter and the power down control being configured to send power control signals [power module supplies no power to transceiver circuitry] to all components of the transceiver [when a data signal is being received, the ENERGYON signal is at a first level and the power module supplies power to transceiver circuitry and when no data signal is being received, the ENERGYON signal is at a second level and the power module stops supplying power to the transceiver circuitry, column 2, lines 32-50 and column 6, lines 27-31].

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1] and upon detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time [256 ms], controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal [LINK_ON] for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-19 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received includes

comparing a received data signal [differential voltage, column 4, lines 25-46] from the

communications network with a reference signal [300 mV, column 4, lines 25-46] and

controlling the transceiver state machine when a magnitude of the received data signal exceeds

the reference signal [column 2, lines 50-67].

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time

period of minimized operating power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20

and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon

detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time, controlling the

transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized

operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, transceiver circuitry is powered

down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time

period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32,

transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the

presence of a received signal for a predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state

machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in

Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when

ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time

period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32,

transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the

presence of a received signal for a second predetermined time subsequent to the predetermined

standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power

consumption to be at minimized operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32,

power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142. in view of, Uppunda et al., US Patent no. 6,678,728.

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Bar-Niv, as described above, teaches a method and apparatus

for regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network. Bar-Niv does not

teach controlling the transceiver to transmit link determination signals to devices on the

communications network when the transceiver is in a power-down mode. Uppunda et al.

teaches transmitting link signals [keep-alive packets, column 1, lines 25-29 and column 3, lines

40-42] to other devices on the network while in a powered down state [sleep state, column 1,

lines 20-29 and column 3, lines 40-42].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of

Bar-Niv and Uppunda et al. Periodically transferring link signals from a first device that is in a

sleep state to other devices on the network indicates to the other devices on the network that the

first device is still connected to the network, even though it is idle [Uppunda et al., column 1,

lines 20-29].

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically

transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al.

further teaches exiting the sleep state only when wake up packets are received from other

devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting

link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then remaining in sleep

mode if no wake packets have been received from the network.

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in

sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to

indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before

transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device

must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The

second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is

connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first

device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in

response to the link signals.

Regarding claims 9 and 21, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically

transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al.

further teaches exiting the sleep state when wake up packets are received from other devices on

the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals

to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then exiting the sleep mode when wake packets have been received from the network.

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Paul Yanchus
September 18, 2006